

1. It is not possible to achieve any order of elimination by carefully choosing an elimination parameter if n is even. Consider the following order of elimination: $1, n, n - 1, n - 2, \dots, 3$. If the first person to be eliminated is person 1, then the parameter must be of the form nk , for some integer k ; therefore the elimination parameter must be even. If the the last person to be eliminated is person 3 (with person 2 surviving), the parameter must be odd. The elimination parameter can't be both even and odd.

2. If x represents the length of the cut, let $P(x)$, $A(x)$ and $V(x)$ represent the perimeter of the base, the area of the base, and the volume of the resulting box as a function of x . Observe that:

$$A(x) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}(1 - 2\sqrt{3}x)^2$$

$$P(x) = 3(1 - 2\sqrt{3}x)$$

$$V(x) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}x(1 - 2\sqrt{3}x)^2$$

The result is implied by maximizing $V(x)$ using the tools of calculus.

3. The function

$$f(x, y) = \frac{x^{n+1}y}{x^{2(n+1)} + y^2}$$

is a function for which

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x, g_P(x)) = 0$$

Let $y = x^{n+1}$; then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x, y) \neq 0$$

4. If n and b are relatively prime, then there is a minimal non zero r for which $b^r \equiv 1 \pmod n$, or $b^r - 1 = kn$, for some k . It follows that

$$k = d_{r-1}b^{r-1} + \dots + d_1b + d_0 \text{ for } 0 \leq d_i < b$$

Putting the last two ideas together, we obtain:

$$\frac{1}{n} = \frac{d_{r-1}b^{r-1} + \dots + d_1b + d_0}{b^r} \frac{1}{1 - b^{-r}}$$

Since $\frac{1}{1-b^{-r}} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (b^{-r})^i$, a cycle length for $\frac{1}{n}$ is r . To show that there is no lower cycle length, note that the equation (which would follow if m were another cycle length)

$$\frac{1}{n} = \frac{e_{m-1}b^{m-1} + \dots + e_1b + e_0}{b^m} \frac{1}{1 - b^{-m}}$$

implies that $m \geq r$ because of the minimality of r .

5. Imagine that the three pegs are occupied, from left to right, by the red stack and then the blue stack, and the rightmost peg is unoccupied. Label these three pegs P_L , P_M , and P_R (for left peg, middle peg, and right peg). In order to swap the positions of the two stacks, we will create a double stack containing $2(n - 1)$ disks of alternating color (the pattern will be, from the top; red, blue, red, blue, and so on) over the largest blue disk on P_M . We will then be able to move: 1. the largest red disk to P_R ; 2. the double stack over the largest red disk on P_R ; 3. the largest blue disk onto P_L ; 4. the double stack onto the largest blue disk on P_L ; 5. the largest red disk onto P_M . Finally, we unstack the double stack. Let $D_M(k)$ denote the number of moves required to create a double stack on P_M . Let $A(k)$ denote the number of moves required to implement the entire algorithm. Since it will require $2(2^k - 1)$ moves to shift the double stack from one peg to another, we would have the following:

$$A(n) = 2D_M(n - 1) + 3 + 4(2^{n-1} - 1)$$

Suppose that one has two stacks of k red and blue disks. Let $D_R(k)$ denote the number of moves required to shuffle these two stacks into a single double stack which sits atop P_R . In order to create a double stack of $2k$ disks over the P_M , one is required to create a double stack of $2(k - 1)$ disks over P_R . Once this has been done, the second largest red disk would be moved atop the second largest blue disk on P_M , and then the double stack of $2(k - 1)$ disks would be moved from P_R onto P_M . We would then have:

$$D_M(k) = D_R(k - 1) + 2(2^{k-2} - 1) + 1$$

On the other hand, in order to create a double stack of $2k$ disks on P_R , we are required to create a double stack of $2(k - 1)$ disks on P_M , move a red disk from P_L peg onto P_R , move the double stack of $2(k - 1)$ disks onto the leftmost peg, move a blue disk from the center peg onto the rightmost peg, then move the double stack onto the rightmost peg. So:

$$D_R(k) = D_M(k - 1) + 2 + 4(2^{k-1} - 1)$$

Putting these two together, we would have the relation:

$$D_M(k) - D_M(k - 2) = 3 + 2(2^{k-1} - 1) + 4(2^{k-2} - 1)$$

Since $D_M(0) = 0$ and $D_M(1) = 1$, this relation permits an efficient calculation of $D_M(k)$ for any k by examining the telescoping sum (for $i = 2$ or $i = 3$):

$$(D_M(k) - D_M(k-2)) + (D_M(k-2) - D_M(k-4)) + \dots + (D_M(i) - D_M(i-2)) = D_M(k) - D_M(i-2)$$

6. Assume that $x_n \neq f(x_{n-1})$. Otherwise, a fixed point clearly exists and the limit converges to this fixed point. In particular, $x_1 \neq f(x_1)$. The mean value theorem implies that

$$|x_n - x_m| < \frac{|x_1 - f(x_1)|}{2^{m-3}}$$

So, for any $\epsilon > 0$, choose N so that $\frac{|x_1 - f(x_1)|}{2^{N-3}} < \epsilon$. If $n \geq m \geq N$, it follows that

$$|x_n - x_m| < \frac{1}{2^{m-3}} |x_1 - f(x_1)|$$

This implies that the sequence is Cauchy, therefore convergent. Since the limit exists, it must be that

$$f(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$$

7. The following function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ is a one to one correspondence:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \text{ is not a whole number} \\ x + 1 & \text{if } x \text{ is a whole number} \end{cases}$$

8. The matrix in question can be thought of as a polynomial in the variable X with complex coefficients, where X is the matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

To be more precise, if A is the matrix described in the problem, then

$$A = I + nX + (n-1)X^2 + \cdots + 2X^{n-1}$$

It can be shown that if v is an eigenvector for X , then v is an eigenvector for A , and that the list of eigenvectors for X is a complete list of eigenvectors for A . If v is an eigenvector for X , then it has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \omega_i \\ \omega_i^2 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \omega_i^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

where ω_i is an n th root of unity.